Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment for Thirty Road (Regional Road 14) at Young Street in the Township of West Lincoln

APPENDIX

6 Geotechnical Investigation

If technical reports are required in an alternative format for accessibility needs, please contact:

Maged Elmadhoon, M.Eng., P.Eng. Manager, Transportation Planning – Public Works, Niagara Region Phone: 605-980-6000 ext. 3583 Email: <u>Maged.Elmadhoon@niagararegion.ca</u>

SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, ON · L8E 2Z3

🌐 www.soil-mat.ca 🖾 info@soil-mat.ca 🔇 905.318.7440 / 800.243.1922 (toll free) 🖶 905.318.7455

PROJECT NO.: SM 220432-G

April 18, 2024

RV ANDERSON ASSOCIATES LIMITED 43 Church Street – Suite 104 St. Catharines, Ontario L2R 7E1

Attention: Andrew McGregor

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION PROPOSED INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AND REALIGNMENT THIRTY ROAD AND YOUNG STREET WEST LINCOLN, ONTARIO

Dear Mr. McGregor,

Further to your authorisation, SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD. has completed the fieldwork, laboratory testing, and report preparation in connection with the above noted project. The investigation and reporting were undertaken in general accordance with our proposal P220432, dated May 25, 2022. Our comments and recommendations based on our findings at the sixteen [16] borehole locations and six [6] test pits are presented in the following paragraphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

We understand that the project will include improvements to the intersection of Thirty Road and Young Street in West Lincoln, Ontario, including realignment of the northern portion of Thirty Road. The purpose of this geotechnical investigation work was to assess the existing pavement structure and subsurface soil conditions, and to provide our comments and recommendations with respect to the design and construction of the proposed intersection improvements, from a geotechnical point of view.

This report is based on the above summarised project, and on the assumption that the design and construction will be performed in accordance with the applicable codes and standards. Significant deviations from the proposed project design may possibly nullify the recommendations made in this report. If significant changes are to be made to the proposed design, this office must be consulted to review the new changes with respected to the results of this investigation.



2. PROCEDURE

A total of ten [10] sampled boreholes were advanced at the locations illustrated in the attached Borehole Location Plan, Drawing No. 1. The boreholes were advanced using continuous flight power auger equipment to termination at depths of approximately 3.4 to 6.0 metres below the existing grade on February 27 and 28, 2024, under the direction and supervision of a representative of SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD. Upon completion of drilling all boreholes were backfilled in general accordance with Ontario Regulation 903, and the grade reinstated even with the existing road surface using a pre-mixed asphalt 'cold patch' product.

Additionally, a total of six [6] borehole logs were provided to SOIL-MAT by a representative of RV Anderson Associates Limited, identified as the logs of Borehole Nos. 34 to 39 from Inspec Sol Report Reference No. T060074-A1, dated June 12, 2012, advanced along Young Street. The information contained within these reports was considered in preparation of this report.

Representative samples of the subsoils were recovered from the borehole locations at selected depth intervals using split barrel sampling equipment driven in accordance with the requirements of the ASTM test specification D1586, Standard Penetration Resistance Testing. After undergoing a general field examination, the soil samples were preserved and transported to the SOIL-MAT laboratory for visual, tactile, and olfactory classifications. Routine moisture content tests were performed on all soil samples recovered from the borings.

The boreholes were located in the field by a representative of SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD., in general accordance with the drawing provided to our office, based on accessibility over the site and clearance of underground services. The ground surface elevation at each of the borehole locations have been referenced to the existing roadway surface.

Details of the conditions encountered in the boreholes, together with the results of the field and laboratory tests, are presented in the Log of Borehole Nos. 1 to 10, inclusive, following the text of this report, as well as the Log of Borehole Nos. 34 to 39 from Inspec Sol referenced above. It is noted that the boundaries of soil types indicated on the borehole logs are inferred from non-continuous soil sampling and observations made during drilling. These boundaries are intended to reflect transition zones for the purpose of geotechnical design and therefore should not be construed as the exact planes of geological change.

PROJECT NO.: SM 220432-G



3. SITE DESCRIPTION AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The project area consists of Thirty Road from 600 metres north to 250 metres south of Young Street, and along Young Street from 250 metres east to 250 metres west of Thirty Road. The asphalt paved roadways bound a salt plant facility [Smithville Yard]. Generally, the roadways have grass ditches for stormwater control. The project site is bounded by agricultural land on all sides.

The subsurface conditions encountered at the borehole locations are summarised as follows:

Pavement Structure

All of the boreholes were advanced through the pavement structure of the existing roadway, which generally consisted of approximately 75 to 275 millimetres of asphaltic concrete, overlaying 175 to 250 millimetres of compact granular base materials. The pavement surface was generally noted to be in good condition, exhibiting little to no alligator cracking, rutting, potholes, etc. The pavement structures encountered at each of the borings have been summarised as follows:

Borehole No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Asphaltic Concrete (mm)	75	100	250	225	250	225	200	275	150	150
Granular Material (mm)	225	200	250	175	175	175	225	250	200	200

 TABLE A – PAVEMENT STRUCTURE SUMMARY [SOIL-MAT BOREHOLES]

Borehole No.	34	35	36	37	38	39
Asphaltic Concrete (mm)	75	75	75	75	100	100
Granular Material (mm)	325	325	300	150	300	250

Silty Clay/Clayey Silt

Native silty clay/clayey silt was encountered beneath the pavement structure at all of the borehole locations and beneath the surficial topsoil layer at all test pits. The cohesive soil was brown in colour, weathered in appearance/reworked in the upper levels, contained trace gravel, and generally stiff to very stiff in consistency. Native silty clay/clayey silt was proven to auger refusal at depths of approximately 3.1 and 3.7



metres at Boreholes 1 and 3 respectively, and to termination at depths of approximately 3.7 to 6.0 metres at all remaining borehole locations. Based on the conditions described in the Inspec Sol boreholes, the auger refusal appears to be due to the presence of a hard layer comprised of cobbles/boulders. This layer is evident due to the sharp increase in 'N-values' and auger performance at various borehole locations at depths of between approximately 2.5 and 4 metres, and should be expected across the site.

In addition to the boreholes, a series of test excavations were advanced within the field at the locations illustrated in the attached Drawing No. 1, Borehole Location Plan. A summary of the conditions encountered in the test pits are summarized as follows:

Test Pit No.	Depth (m)	Soil Description
	0 - 0.4	Topsoil – Approximately 400 millimetres of topsoil.
1	0.4 – 0.6	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt – Brown, reworked in appearance, trace gravel, generally stiff in consistency.
	0-0.4	Topsoil – Approximately 400 millimetres of topsoil
2	0.4 - 0.6	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt – Brown, reworked in appearance, trace sand and gravel, generally stiff in consistency.
	0 – 0.35	Topsoil – Approximately 300 millimetres of topsoil.
3	0.35 – 0.6	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt – Brown, reworked in appearance, trace sand and gravel, generally stiff in consistency.
	0 - 0.4	Topsoil – Approximately 400 millimetres of topsoil
4	0.4 - 0.8	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt – Brown, reworked in appearance, trace sand, generally stiff in consistency.
	0 – 0.3	Topsoil – Approximately 300 millimetres of topsoil.
5	0.3 – 0.9	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt – Brown, trace sand and gravel, generally stiff in consistency, wet at 0.65 metres.
	0 – 0.35	Topsoil – Approximately 400 millimetres of topsoil
6	0.35 – 1.05	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt – Brown, trace to some sand, generally stiff in consistency.

It is noted that the upper levels of the silty clay/clayey silt encountered generally had a reworked or weathered appearance, and may be disturbed or fill associated with the construction of the roadway, underground infrastructure, etc., having been subjected to ongoing freeze-thaw cycles and traffic loads. The upper levels of the soil encountered at the test pit locations within the field were also disturbed, likely due to agricultural activities.

PROJECT NO.: SM 220432-G



Laboratory Soil Classification

Grain size analyses were conducted on selected samples of the native soils recovered from the boreholes. The results of this grain size testing can be found appended to the end of this report, and are summarized as follows:

Sample ID	Depth (m)	% Clay	% Silt	% Sand	% Gravel	Estimated Permeability, k [cm/sec]	Estimated Infiltration Rate [mm/hr]
BH5 SS3	2.6	37	41	19	3	10 ⁻⁸	<5
BH9 SS2	1.8	43	37	16	4	10 ⁻⁸	<5

TABLE D	GRAIN SIZE	
		ANALISIS

The field and laboratory testing demonstrate the native soils to consist of clay and silt mixtures with some sand and a trace of gravel. According to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), the soils are generally classified as M.L. – Inorganic silts and very fine sands, clayey silts with slight plasticity and C.L. – Inorganic clays of low plasticity and M.L. – Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, silty clays. These soils have an estimated coefficient of hydraulic conductivity on the order of 10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁸ cm/sec and are considered to be effectively impermeable, not suitable for LID stormwater infiltration systems.

A review of available published information [Quaternary Geology of Ontario, Southern Sheet Map 2556] indicate the native soils to comprise of clayey to silt-textured till to glaciolacustrine deposits of silt and clay with minor sand and gravel. These conditions are consistent with our experience in the area and observations made during drilling.

Groundwater Observations

All of the boreholes were noted to be open and 'dry' upon completion of drilling. It is noted that insufficient time would have passed for the static groundwater level to stabilise in the open boreholes. In cohesive soils such as the silty clay/clayey silt encountered, the static groundwater level typically coincides with the transition in colour from brown to grey. Based on observations made during drilling and our experience in the area, the static groundwater level is conservatively estimated at depths of perhaps 3 to 4 metres, fluctuating depending on the season, below the anticipated depths of construction. Regardless, shallower perched deposits of water within permeable seams, as well as from surface runoff should be anticipated, especially during the 'wet' times of the year.



4. EXCAVATIONS

Excavations for the installation of underground services are expected to extend to depths of up to about 2 to 3 metres below the existing grade. Excavations through the silty clay/clayey silt would be expected to remain stable at inclinations of up to 45 to 60 degrees to the horizontal for the short construction period. Where wet seams are encountered, during periods of extended precipitation, or where excavations extend below the static ground water level, excavations may locally "slough in" to inclinations of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical, or flatter, especially during the 'wet' times of the year.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, all excavations must comply with the requirements of the current Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects. The silty clay/clayey silt soils encountered would generally be considered Type 2 soils, as outlined in the Ontario Health and Safety Act, Part III – Excavations. Excavations sloped steeper than those required in the Safety Act must be supported and a senior geotechnical engineer from this office should monitor the work.

As noted above, the static groundwater level is anticipated to be below the depths of construction. Regardless, some infiltration of water from more permeable seams, as well as from surface runoff, should be anticipated, especially during the wet times of the year. The rate of infiltration should be sufficiently low that groundwater should be adequately controlled using conventional construction 'dewatering' techniques such as pumping from sumps and ditches. Increased volumes of water should be anticipated when making connections to existing services, or in the event that excavations extend into the cobble layer. Surface water should be directed away from the excavations.

The base of the excavations in the native soils should generally remain firm and stable, even in the event that excavations extend near to slightly below the groundwater level. Regardless, instability may be experienced due to weather conditions, infiltration of water through existing service trenches, permeable seams, etc., or where excavations are left exposed to the elements for extended periods of time. Excavation bases that experience such base instability may require sub-excavation of disturbed soils, additional bedding material or other base stabilisation measures such as the provision of a layer of coarse crushed clear stone 'punched' into the excavation base.

With firm and stable excavation base conditions, stabilised where required, standard pipe bedding material as specified by the Town of West Lincoln or Ontario Provincial Standard Specification [OPSS] should be satisfactory. The bedding should be well compacted to provide sufficient support to the pipes and components (i.e. valve



chambers, manholes etc.), and to minimise settlements of the roadway above the service trenches. Special attention should be paid to compaction under the pipe haunches.

Any utility poles, light poles, etc. located within 3 metres of the top of an excavation slope should be braced to ensure their stability. Likewise, temporary support might be required for other existing above and below ground structures, including existing underground services, depending on their proximity to the trench excavations.

5. BACKFILL CONSIDERATIONS

The excavated soils are anticipated to consist of the granular materials from the pavement structure and silty clay/clayey silt encountered in the boreholes, as described The majority of the excavated silty clay/clayey silt soils encountered are above. generally considered suitable for use as engineered fill, service trench backfill provided that they are free of organics, construction debris, or other deleterious materials, and the moisture content can be controlled within 3 per cent of the material's standard Proctor optimum value. Some selective sorting of the excavated materials should be anticipated to remove any such deleterious materials. Depending on the weather conditions at the time of construction, some moisture conditioning of the excavated materials may be required to achieve adequate compaction in order to minimise long-term settlements. The on-site soils encountered are generally considered to be near to slightly 'dry' of their optimum moisture content. Care should be taken to separate the granular materials from the pavement structure from the silty clay/clayey silt soils encountered, to maintain their respective properties. It may be possible to reuse the granular materials from the existing pavement structure, such as to raise the grade in the area of the proposed realignment, or even as granular sub-base layers within the new payement structure. however this would be best assessed at the time of construction.

Alternatively, the service trenches may be backfilled with quality imported granular materials, such as an OPSS Granular 'B' Type II (crushed bedrock) or approved equivalent. Such granular materials are less sensitive to moisture conditions and more readily compacted in the often-restricted access areas of service trenches. Any imported fill should have its moisture content within 3 per cent of its optimum moisture content, conform to the project specifications with regards to material type and gradation, and meet the necessary environmental guidelines.



It is noted that where the backfill material is placed and compacted near or slightly above its optimum moisture content the potential for long-term settlement due to ingress of groundwater and collapse of the fill structure is reduced. Correspondingly, the shear strength of the 'wet' backfill material is also lowered, thereby reducing its ability to support construction traffic and therefore impacting roadway construction. If the soil is well dry of its optimum value, it will appear to be very strong when compacted, but will tend to settle with time as the moisture content in the fill increases to equilibrium condition. Therefore, it is very important that the moisture content of the fill at the time of placement and compaction is within three per cent of its standard Proctor optimum moisture content. Any imported fill required in service trenches or to raise the subgrade elevation should exhibit respective moisture contents within three per cent of its optimum moisture content and meet the necessary environmental guidelines.

The backfill should be placed in loose lifts not exceeding 300 millimetres to a minimum of 98 per cent of its standard Proctor maximum dry density [SPMDD], and should be compacted with the heaviest possible equipment that will not damage the underlying service pipe. Compaction should be achieved with the appropriate equipment for the fill soil type, using a pad toe ("sheepsfoot") roller in cohesive soils and a smooth drum roller or vibratory plate for granular soils.

A representative of SOIL-MAT should be retained to monitor the backfilling and compaction operations to confirm uniform compaction of the backfill material to project specification requirements. Close supervision is prudent in areas that are not readily accessible to compaction equipment, for instance near the end of compaction 'runs'. A method should be developed to assess compaction efficiency employing the on-site compaction equipment and backfill materials during construction.

6. PAVEMENT RECONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

It is understood that the existing roadway surface will be reconstructed as part of the intersection improvements, and new pavement structure provided in the area of the realignment upon raising the grade. The existing pavement surface exhibited occasional to frequent signs of longitudinal, transverse, and alligator cracking, rutting, and potholes, suggesting areas of potential subgrade failure and/or age related distress, consistent with the relatively limited depth of granular material encountered, with Clayson Road and Thirty Road being in generally worse condition than Young Street.



Given the conditions of the roadway and observed granular depths, a full depth reconstruction should be considered, especially along Thirty Road and Clayson Road where signs of subgrade failure were evident. A partial depth reconstruction may also be considered if the grade is able to be raised with additional granular material, which may be preferred along Young Street where the road condition was generally better than Thirty Road and Clayson Road. It is noted that a partial depth reconstruction is expected to have a reduced lifespan and higher maintenance costs with a lower initial cost, and would not allow for removal of any unsuitable subgrade materials which may be present, where a full depth reconstruction would have a higher initial cost, with reduced maintenance requirements, and would allow for the repair/removal of unsuitable subgrade soils.

PARTIAL DEPTH RECONSTRUCTION

Partial depth reconstruction of the pavement structure would consist of a 'peel and pave' or 'pulverised and pave' method. A 'peel and pave' approach would involve complete removal of the existing asphalt layers, re-grading, and compaction of the existing granular base material. A 'pulverise and pave' approach would include the pulverisation of existing asphalt materials, combining it with the underlying granular materials. Similarly, the road would be re-graded and new granular base materials would be placed and compacted. Given the relatively deep asphalt thickness, a specialty contractor should be consulted regarding the feasibility of pulverising the asphalt. The new asphaltic concrete layers would then be placed at the surface.

The addition of granular materials would increase the granular depth, and therefore improve the stability and lifespan of the new pavement structure. It is recommended that a minimum of 600 millimetres of granular base be provided, consistent with a typical heavy duty pavement structure, based on the conditions encountered and anticipated traffic loads. The existing and any additional granular base materials to be added during reconstruction should be compacted to a minimum of 98 per cent of their standard Proctor maximum dry density [SPMDD].

The new asphalt layers should be compacted to a minimum of 92 per cent of their Marshall maximum density [MRD]. Considering a minimum of 600 millimetres of granular base materials, a typical heavy duty pavement structure to support vehicles such as cars, larger trucks, emergency vehicles, etc. for the anticipated use of the roadway would consist of the following, at a minimum:

SP12.5 asphalt surface course	40 millimetres
SP19 asphalt binder course	80 millimetres



The outlined partial depth pavement reconstruction may be expected to have an approximate lifetime of 10 to 15 years, assuming that regular maintenance is performed.

FULL DEPTH RECONSTRUCTION

A full depth reconstruction would consist of the complete removal of existing asphalt layers and granular base materials to the proposed subgrade elevation. This approach would provide a full depth of granular base material, which therein increases the lifespan of the pavement structure. Additionally, the existing grade of the roadway would be maintained, where raising the grade is not feasible. The existing asphaltic concrete could be pulverised into a well-graded granular product which would be reused within the sub-base course material, depending on its gradation. Laboratory sieve analyses would be required to assess the suitability of the crushed granular material for the project. The subgrade level would be lowered where needed in order to accommodate the full depths of the new pavement structure and finished grade.

The exposed subgrade should be cleared of any organic or otherwise unsuitable materials, and then proofrolled with 3 to 4 passes of a fully loaded tandem axle dump truck in the presence of a representative of SOIL-MAT prior to the placement of the subbase material. Areas of visible distress revealed during this process or by any other means, should be sub-excavated and then replaced with suitable backfill material such as available on-site granular materials (i.e., pulverised asphaltic concrete, recycled granular material, etc.) or imported OPSS Granular 'B', Type II (crushed limestone bedrock) or approved alternative, compacted to a minimum of 98 per cent of its SPMDD. Alternatively, the soft areas may be repaired by 'punching' coarse aggregate such as 50-millimetre clear crushed stone or rip rap, into the soft areas. In severe cases, the use of a stabilising geograid and/or geofabric separator may be warranted. The need for sub-excavation or stabilisation of softened subgrade materials will be reduced if the construction is undertaken during the dry summer months of the year.

Good drainage provisions will optimise the long-term performance of the pavement structure. The subgrade must be properly crowned and shaped to promote drainage to the subdrain system. Subdrains should be installed to intercept excess subsurface water, and to prevent the softening of the subgrade material. Subdrains would typically consist of a minimum 100-millimetre diameter perforated plastic pipe encased in a geofabic 'sock'. Surface water should not be allowed to pond adjacent to the outer limits of the paved areas.



The proposed new pavement structure, including any driveway aprons which are to be reconstructed as part of the project, should conform to the appropriate Town of West Lincoln Standard for the applicable roadway/driveway section. Alternatively, a typical heavy pavement structure to support vehicles such as cars, large trucks, emergency vehicles, etc. for the anticipated use would consist of a minimum of:

SP12.5 asphalt surface course	40 millimetres
SP19 asphalt binder course	80 millimetres
Base course granular [OPSS Granular 'A']	150 millimetres
Sub-base course granular [OPSS Granular 'B', Type II]	450 millimetres

It is our opinion that this design is suitable for use on the subject roadway, provided that the subgrade has been prepared as detailed above before any sub-base material is placed. If the subgrade is soft, remedial measures as discussed previously should be implemented, and/or the sub-base thickness should be increased. The granular sub-base and base courses should be compacted to a minimum of 98 per cent of their SPMDD, and the asphaltic concrete layers should be compacted to a minimum of 92 per cent of their MRD. A program of in-place density testing must be undertaken to monitor that the compaction requirements are being met.

The outlined full depth pavement reconstruction may be expected to have an approximate 20-year lifespan, assuming that regular maintenance is performed. Should a more detailed pavement structure design be required, site specific traffic information would be needed, together with detailed laboratory testing of the subgrade soils.

In the event that a concrete apron is provided at the roundabout, a typical heavy duty concrete pavement structure would consist of a minimum of the following:

Concrete	200 millimetres
Base course granular [OPSS Granular 'A']	150 millimetres
Sub-base course granular [OPSS Granular 'B', Type II]	300 millimetres

It is reiterated that where the pavement structure is to be assumed by the Town of West Lincoln, it should conform to the applicable Town standard.

GENERAL ASPHALT PLACEMENT CONDITIONS

To minimise segregation of the finished asphalt mat, the asphalt temperature must be maintained uniform throughout the mat during placement and compaction. All too often, significant temperature gradients exist in the delivered and placed asphalt with the cooler portions of the mat resisting compaction and presenting a honey combed surface. As the spreader moves forward, a responsible member of the paving crew should



monitor the pavement surface, to ensure a smooth uniform surface. Surface segregation can be mitigated by 'back-casting' or scattering shovels of the full mix material over the segregated areas and raking out the coarse particles during compaction operations. Of course, the above assumes that the asphalt mix is sufficiently hot to allow the 'back-casting' to be performed.

Where the road is to be widened/realigned, all organic or otherwise unsuitable materials from within the new road alignment should be removed, and the exposed subgrade well compacted and proof-rolled with a fully loaded tandem-axle truck in the presence of a representative of SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS. Any 'soft spots' delineated by this work should be sub-excavated prior to raising the grade to the design subgrade elevation. Granular fill would be preferred for such grading work, as this material is more readily compacted in areas of restricted access, and generally provides a more uniform support condition for the proposed roadway. It should be possible to make use of the granular materials within the existing roadway for such an application, however this would be best assessed at the time of construction.

7. GENERAL COMMENTS

The comments provided in this document are intended only for the guidance of the design team. The material in it reflects SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS' best judgement in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. The subsurface descriptions and borehole information are intended to describe conditions at the borehole locations only. It is the contractors' responsibility to determine how these conditions will affect the scheduling and methods of construction for the project. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

PROJECT NO.: SM 220432-G



We trust that this geotechnical report is sufficient for your present requirements. Should you require any additional information or clarification as to the contents of this document, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours very truly, SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD.

14

Malcolm Green, B.Tech. Junior Engineer

Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Project Engineer



Enclosures: Drawing No. 1, Borehole Location Plan Logs of Boreholes Nos. 1 to 10, inclusive Logs of Borehole Nos. 34 to 39 from Inspec Sol

Distribution: RV Anderson [pdf]



Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4775120 E: 619148



understand Description understand understand </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>SAMF</th> <th>PLE</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Moisture Content</th>								SAMF	PLE				Moisture Content
nt 0.00 Ground Surface 9.30 Paremati Structure Aproximately 75 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 225 s. Sity Clay/Clayey Sitt Brown, weathered apparence in the upper levels, trace spacel, trace sand in the upper levels, very stiff. SS 1 45.0.10.11 30 3 3.10 End of Borehole Auger Refusal SS 1 45.0.10.11 30 3 3.10 End of Borehole Auger Refusal SS 5 50+ 50+ 1 Auger Refusal SS 5 50+ 50+ 50+ 1 NOTES: 1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on February 27, 2024 to auger refusal at a depth of 31. metres. 2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 503. 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client.	Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ w% ▲ 10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Test ● blows/300mm ● 20 40 60 80
0 0.30 Pavement Structure Asphatizers of compact granular base. Sility Clay/Clayey Silit upper levels, trace sand in the upper levels, very stiff. SS 1 45,20,10,11 30 3 Simplimetres of compact granular base. Sility Clay/Clayey Silit upper levels, trace sand in the upper levels, very stiff. SS 1 45,20,10,11 30 3 Simplimetres of compact granular base. Sility Clay/Clayey Silit the upper levels, very stiff. SS 2 11,7,8,12 15 >4,5 3 Simplimetres of compact granular base. Sility Clay/Clayey Silit the upper levels, very stiff. SS 5 50+ 50+ 4 A Auger Refusal SS 5 50+ 50+ 60+ 6 Auger Refusal SS 5 50+ 50+ 60+ 4.5 7 A Auger Refusal SS 5 50+ 50+ 60+ 7 A Auger Refusal SS 5 50+ 50+ 60+ 7 A Auger Refusal Auger Refusal Auger Refusal Auger Refusal Auger Refusal Auger Refusal 7 A Auger Refusal Auger Refusal	ft m	0.00		Ground Surface									
3 1 Imilimiteres of compact granular base. Sitty Clay/Clayey Sitt Brown, weathered appearance in the upper levels, trace gravel, trace sand in the upper levels, trace sand trace sand trace sand trace sand in trace sand trace sand trace s	1 1 2	-0.30	TH S	Pavement Structure Aproximately 75 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 225		SS	1	45,20,10,11	30				
3 upper levels, trace gravel, trace sand in the upper levels, very stiff. 9 3.10 10 3 3 8,14,14,18 28 >4,5 9 3.10 11 End of Borehole Auger Refusal 13 4 14 5 15 50+ 16 5 17 NOTES: 18 1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on February 27, 2024 to auger refusal at a depth of 3.1 metres. 19 6 22 7 23 7 24 1. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dny' upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903. 33 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client.	3 1 4 1		H H H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, weathered appearance in the		SS	2	11,7,8,12	15		>4.5		
8 3 3.10 End of Borehole Auger Refusal 8 8,14,14,26 28 >4.5 11 Auger Refusal SS 5 50+ 50+ 50+ 11 Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal 11 Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal 11 Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal 11 Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal 12 Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal 13 Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal 14 Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal 15 Figual Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal Image: Signal Auger Refusal 223 Figual Auger Refusal Image: Signa Auger Refu	5 6 7		1 H H	upper levels, trace gravel, trace sand in the upper levels, very stiff.		SS	3	8,14,14,18	28		>4.5		
10 3 10 2 11 End of Borehole Auger Refusal 5 12 4 13 4 15 5 16 5 17 6 18 6 19 6 20 6 21 7 22 7 24 24 25 8 NOTES: 1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on February 27, 2024 to auger refusal at a depth of 3.1 metres. 28 9 9 Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903. 31 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client.	8 9	3 10	H H			SS	4	8,14,14,26	28		>4.5		
13 4 13 4 13 4 13 4 13 4 13 4 14 5 15 5 16 5 18 1 19 6 20 6 21 22 23 7 24 23 25 8 NOTES: 1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on February 27, 2024 to auger refusal at a depth of 3.1 metres. 28 2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903. 32 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our clent.	10 - 3 11 - 3	-3.10		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		SS	5	50+	50+				
15 15 16 5 17 6 18	13 4 14 4												
17 0 18 19 19 6 21 19 22 7 23 7 24 10 25 1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on February 27, 2024 to auger refusal at a depth of 3.1 metres. 28 2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903. 31 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client.	15 16 16												
19 6 20 6 21 7 22 7 23 7 24 1 25 8 26 8 27 1 28 1 29 9 30 1 31 3 32 3 31 3 32 3	17 1 8												
21 22 23 7 24 23 25 1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on February 27, 2024 to auger refusal at a depth of 3.1 metres. 28 28 29 9 30 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client.	19 <u>+</u> 20 <u>+</u> 6												
24 25 26 8 NOTES: NOTES: 26 8 NOTES: 1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on February 27, 2024 to auger refusal at a depth of 3.1 metres. 29 9 30 2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario 31 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client. Image: Complete the complete t	22 22 23 23 7												
26 8 27 1 28 1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on February 27, 2024 to auger refusal at a depth of 3.1 metres. 29 9 30 28 29 9 30 28 31 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client.	24												
 27 28 29 9 30 31 32 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 30 31 31 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 32 31 32 32 31 32 31 32 33 34 35 35 36 37 37 37 38 39 39 30 30 30 31 31 31 32 33 34 34 35 34 35 35 36 37 37 37 38 39 39 39 30 30 <l< td=""><td>26 8</td><td></td><td></td><td>NOTES:</td><td></td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td></l<>	26 8			NOTES:		I	I						
 2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario 31 32 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client. 	27 <u>+</u> 28 <u>+</u> 20 <u>+</u>			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem at a depth of 3.1 metres.	augere	equipn	nent oi	n February 27,	2024	to aug	jer refi	usal	
32 3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client.	2° = 9 30 = 9 31 = 1			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' Regulation 903.	upon c	omple	tion ar	nd backfilled as	s per (Ontario)		
33	32 <u>-</u> 33 -			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mo	onths un	less of	therwis	se directed by	our cli	ent.			

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 27, 20244Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4775155 E: 619154



				SAMPLE							Moisture Content			
th	(m)		Description				nts	mm		n2)	m3)	▲ w% 10 20 30	40	
Dep	Elevation	Symbol		Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Cou	Blows/300	Recovery	PP (kgf/cr	U.Wt.(kN/	Standard Penetra • blows/300m 20 40 60	tion Test m • 80	
ft m	0.00		Ground Surface											
	-0.30	HH H	Pavement Structure Aproximately 100 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 200 millimetres of compact grapular base											
		F H H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, weathered appearance in the		SS	1	6,5,5,6	10		>4.5				
		FIHIF	upper levels, trace gravel, stiff to hard.		SS	2	8,13,13,21	26		>4.5				
7 8 9 9		F F F			SS	3	7,17,17,42	34		>4.5				
10 - 3 11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	-3.70	HH H			SS	4	10,18,22,31	40						
12 13 – 1			End of Borehole											
14														
15														
16 5														
17														
18														
19 20 - 6														
21														
22														
23 7														
24														
25														
^{∠0}			NOTES:		l			I						
28 29			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem a depth of 3.7 metres.	augere	equipn	nent o	n February 27,	2024	to teri	minatic	on at			
30 <u> </u>			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903.											
32 33			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mo	onths un	less of	therwis	se directed by	our cl	ent.					
D.:///	Drill Matheads Oalid Otarra Auror													

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 27, 202444Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4775265 E: 619179



							SAM	PLE				N	اoisture C	ontent
Jepth	on (m)	_	Description	ata		er	Counts	300mm	ery	f/cm2)	kN/m3)	10	w% 20 3	0 40
	Elevat	Symbo		Well D	Type	Numbe	Blow O	Blows/	Recov	PP (kg	U.Wt.(20	blows/300 40 6	0 80
ft m	0.00		Ground Surface											
1 2	-0.30	H H	Pavement Structure Aproximately 250 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 250 millimetres of compact granular base											
3 <u>1</u> 4 <u>1</u>		H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, weathered appearance in the		SS	1	3,4,6,9	10		4.5			1	
5 6 7		H H H	upper levels, trace to some gravel increasing with depth, stiff.		SS	2	3,7,8,13	15		4.5				
8 9		F H F			SS	3	7,7,7,6	14		4.0			-	
10 - 3 11 - 3	-3.70	HH H			SS	4	2,3,8,10	11		>4.5				
12 13 – 4			End of Borehole											
14														
16 5														
17 <u>-</u> 18 <u>-</u>														
19 20 ± 6														
21														
23 <u>7</u> 23 7														
24 <u>-</u> 25 <u>-</u>														
26 <u>–</u> 8 27–			NOTES:											
28			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem at a depth of 3.7 metres.	auger e	equipm	nent oi	n February 28,	2024	to aug	ger refi	usal			
29 <u>9</u> 30 <u>1</u> 04 <u>1</u>			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' Regulation 903.	upon c	omplet	tion ar	nd backfilled as	s per C	Ontario)				
31 32			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mc	onths un	less of	therwis	se directed by	our cli	ent.					
33-														

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 28, 20244Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4775229 E: 619165



							SAM	PLE				M	oisture C	ontent
epth	(m) n		Description	Ita			ounts	00mm	Ŋ	(cm2)	N/m3)	10	3	<u>30 40</u>
Ō	Elevatio	Symbol		Well Da	Type	Numbei	Blow Co	Blows/3	Recove	PP (kgf	U.Wt.(k	Standa • k 20	vlows/300 40 6	tration Test Omm • 60 80
ft m	0.00		Ground Surface											
2	-0.40	H	Pavement Structure Aproximately 225 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 175 millimetres of compact grapular base											
3 <u>1</u> 4 <u>1</u>		H H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, trace gravel, increasing in clay		SS	1	2,3,5,8	8					1	
5 6 7 2		H H	content with depth, stiff.		SS	2	2,5,8,12	13		>4.5				
		H H H			SS	3	2,4,6,10	10		4.5				
10 - 3 11 - 3 12 - 3	-3.30	HHH	Transition to grey in colour.		SS	4	2,7,8,10	15		>4.5			ſ	
13 4 14		H H H			SS	5	6,6,9,13	15		4.0		•	4	
15 16 17 17		F H H												
18 19	-6.00	HH H			SS	6	2,4,6,7	10		3.5				
20 ¹ 0			End of Borehole											
21 22														
23 7														
24 25														
26 8			NOTEO											
27			NUTES:	0110-55	-			2024	ta t					
20 29			a depth of 6.0 metres.	augere	equipn	ient O	n February 28,	2024	io (err	ninatio	n at			
30 - 9 31 - 9			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' Regulation 903.	upon co	omple	tion ar	nd backfilled as	s per C	Ontaric)				
32 33			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mc	onths un	less of	therwi	se directed by	our cli	ent.					
					•									

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 28, 202444Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4775195 E: 619134



							SAM	PLE				Moistu	re Content
ţ	(m)		Description				nts	mm		n2)	m3)	10 20	w% A
Dep	Elevation	Symbol		Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Cou	Blows/300	Recovery	PP (kgf/ci	U.Wt.(kN/	Standard P blows 20 40	enetration Test 3/300mm • 60 80
ft m	0.00		Ground Surface										
	-0.40	TH ::	Pavement Structure Aproximately 250 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 175 millimetres of compact granular base										
		H H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, weathered appearance in the		SS	1	2,4,5,11	9		>4.5			
5 6 1 7		H H	upper levels, trace gravel, increasing in clay content with depth, stiff to hard.		SS	2	4,8,12,16	20		>4.5			
՝ 8 9		H H			SS	3	4,8,13,20	21		>4.5			
10 - 3 11 - 1	-3 70	H H H			SS	4	12,19,20,37	39		2.5			
12=	-3.70		End of Borehole										
15													
16 _													
17 5													
18													
19													
20 1 0													
25													
26 🗐 🖉													
27 🛓 🎽			NOTES:										
28			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem a depth of 3.7 metres.	auger e	equipn	nent o	n February 28,	2024	to teri	minatio	on at		
30 1 9 31 1 9			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' Regulation 903.	upon c	omple	tion ar	nd backfilled as	s per (Ontario)			
32			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mc	onths un	less of	therwi	se directed by	our cl	ient.				
33-													

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 28, 202444Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4775179 E: 619103



							SAMF	PLE				Moisture	Content
ţţ	(L		Description	_			nts	mmC		m2)	ʻm3)	• w ^o 10 20	30 40
Dep	Elevation	Symbol		Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Cou	Blows/300	Recovery	PP (kgf/ci	U.Wt.(kN/	Standard Per blows/3 20 40	etration Test 00mm • 60 80
ft m	0.00		Ground Surface										
2	-0.40	Ħ	Pavement Structure Aproximately 225 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 175										
3 4 4		H H	Millimetres of compact granular base. Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, weathered appearance in the		SS	1	2,3,4,7	7		4.5		• •	
5 6 7		H H	upper levels, trace to some gravel increasing with depth, increasing in silt content with depth, stiff to very stiff.		SS	2	12,15,16,16	31		>4.5			
8 9 9		H H			SS	3	10,12,15,23	27		>4.5		ł	
10 3 11 3		HHH			SS	4	5,9,15,20	24		>4.5		A	
12 <u> </u>	-3.80	H H H	Transition to grey in colour.										
15 16 16 5	5 20	F H H			SS	5	4,10,8,26	18		3.5			
17 18	-3.20		End of Borehole										
19 20 19 20 19 6													
21 22													
23 7 24 7													
25 26 。													
27 - 28 -			NOTES:	, auder 4	auinn	hent o	n February 28	2024	to ten	ninatic	on at		
29 9			a depth of 5.2 metres.	l augor t	qupi			2024		made	,,, ut		
30 31			 вогелове was recorded as open and 'dry' Regulation 903. 	upon c	omple	uon ar	id backfilled as	s per (Untario)			
32 33			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mc	onths un	less of	therwi	se directed by	our cli	ent.	·			

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 28, 20244Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4775160 E: 619053



							SAM	PLE				Moisture Conte	ent
٩	Ê.		Description				Its	mm		ו2)	n3)	• w% 10 20 30	40
Dept	ation (lod	Description	Data		ber	Coun	's/300	overy	kgf/cm	t.(kN/r	Standard Penetrati	on Test
	Elev	Sym		Well	Type	Num	Blow	Blow	Reco) dd	U.W	20 40 60	80
ft m	0.00		Ground Surface										
1	-0.40		Pavement Structure										
2		Æ	Aproximately 200 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 225										
3		<u> </u>	millimetres of compact granular base.				-						
4			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	1	4,6,8,12	14		>4.5		↑ ↑	
5			Brown, weathered appearance in the				-						
6			silt content with depth, stiff to hard.		ss	2	4.8.9.18	17		>4.5			
7 2							.,_,_,_						
8		H					-					N N	
9					SS	3	4,11,16,21	27		>4.5			
10 3		H					-						
11		H			SS	4	7,18,20,35	38		4.0			
12	-3.70	Æ								-			
13 4			End of Borehole										
14													
15													
16 16 5													
17 <u></u>													
18													
19 🛓 🧯													
20 🛔 0													
21													
22													
23 - (
24													
25 <u> </u>													
⁴⁰ 8			NOTES:		I		I		1	1			
⁻ ′ <u>↓</u> 28 <u>↓</u>			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem	auger	equipn	nent o	n February 28.	2024	to ter	minatio	on at		
 29			a depth of 3.7 metres.	5			•						
9 30 ±9			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry'	' upon c	omple	tion ar	nd backfilled as	s per (Ontario	0			
31			Regulation 903.										
32			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mc	onths un	less o	therwi	se directed by	our cli	ient.				
33										•			
D. /// /													

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 28, 202444Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4775038 E: 618895



						_	SAMF	PLE				Moisture Cor	ntent
ţ	(ш		Description				nts	mm		n2)	m3)	• w% 10 20 30	40
Dep	Elevation	Symbol		Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Cou	Blows/300	Recovery	PP (kgf/cr	U.Wt.(kN/	Standard Penetra blows/300n 20 40 60	ation Test nm • 80
ft m	0.00		Ground Surface										
1 1 2	-0.50	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Pavement Structure Aproximately 275 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 200										
3 <u>1</u> 4 <u>1</u>		H H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, weathered appearance in the		SS	1	4,2,5,10	7		2.5			
5 6 7 7		H H	upper levels, trace to some gravel in the lower levels, stiff to hard.		SS	2	3,8,13,16	21		>4.5			
8 9		H H			SS	3	5,12,16,25	28		4.0			
10 3 11	-3 70	H H			SS	4	10,16,20,24	36		>4.5			
12 <u> </u>	-5.70	-71	End of Borehole										
13 <u>4</u> 14 <u>4</u>													
15													
16 5													
17 ‡ ~													
18													
19 ± 6													
20 21													
22													
23 7													
24													
25													
²⁰ 1 8			NOTES:		I	I	I .	I	I	1			
28 28			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem a depth of 3.7 metres.	auger	equipn	nent o	n February 28,	2024	to teri	minatio	on at		
29 9 30 9			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' Regulation 903.	upon c	omple	tion ar	nd backfilled as	s per (Ontario)			
32			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mc	onths un	less of	therwi	se directed by	our cl	ient.				
33-					•								

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 28, 20244Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4775016 E: 618890



							SAM	PLE				Мо	isture (Conten	t
Jepth	ion (m)	-	Description	lata		er	Counts	/300mm	ery	jf/cm2)	kN/m3)	10 Standar	w% 20 d Pene	<u>30</u> 4	10
_	Elevat	Symbo		Well D	Type	Numb	Blow (Blows	Recov	PP (kç	U.Wt.(• bl 20	ows/30 40	0mm 60 8	30
$\int ft m$	0.00		Ground Surface												
1 1 2	-0.30	Ħ	Pavement Structure Aproximately 150 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 200												
3 <u>1</u> 4		H H	millimetres of compact granular base. / Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown weathered appearance in the		SS	1	3,3,5,9	8		2.5		•	ţ		
5		ĦĦ	upper levels, trace gravel, stiff to very stiff.		SS	2	5,5,10,15	15		4.5					
7		ĦĦ			SS	3	5,5,11,16	16		>4.5					
9 10 10 10		H H					4 40 40 00			. 4 5					
12	-3.70	Ŧ			55	4	4,10,13,20	23		>4.5					
13 4			End of Borehole												
14															
15															
16 5															
17 <u>+</u>															
10 19															
20 6															
21															
22															
23 7															
24 <u>+</u>															
25 26															
-~ <u></u> 27 <u></u> 27 <u></u>			NOTES:		ı	I	1	I	I	ı					
28			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem a depth of 3.7 metres.	auger e	equipm	nent o	n February 28,	2024	to terr	ninatio	on at				
² = 9 30 = 9			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' Regulation 903.	upon c	omplet	tion ar	nd backfilled as	s per (Ontario)					
32 32			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mc	onths un	less of	herwis	se directed by	our cli	ent.						
JJ					. <u> </u>				•						

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 28, 20244Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220432-G Project: Proposed Intersection Improvements Location: West Lincoln, On Client: RV Anderson

Project Manager: Kyle Richardson, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 UTM Coordinates - N: 4774987 E: 618877



							SAMF	PLE				М	oisture	e Cont	ent
ے	Ê		Description				ts	шш		12)	n3)	10	w 20	'% 30	40
Dept	Elevation (Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Coun	Blows/300	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm	U.Wt.(kN/n	Standa 20	ard Pe blows/ 40	netrati 300mr 60	on Test n • 80
ft m	0.00		Ground Surface												
	-0.30	H H	Pavement Structure Aproximately 150 millimetres of asphaltic concrete overlying 200 millimetres of compact granular base												
		H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, weathered appearance in the		SS	1	4,6,6,8	12		>4.5			Ţ		
5 6 		H H	upper levels, trace gravel, stiff to hard.		SS	2	6,8,10,13	18		>4.5			ł		
8 9		H H			SS	3	14,32,38,40	70		>4.5				>	•
10 4 3 11 4 4	2 70	H H			SS	4	14,16,18,21	34		>4.5					
12	-3.70		End of Borehole												
13 14 4 14 1															
15															
16 5															
17															
18															
19 <u>+</u> 20 - 6															
20 21															
22															
23 7															
24															
25															
26 <u>+</u> 8			NOTES:						I						
∠/ <u>}</u> 28 -			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem	auger	equipr	nent or	n February 28.	2024	to teri	ninatio	on at				
29 I			a depth of 3.7 metres.	5			, -,								
30 + 9 31 +			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'dry' Regulation 903.	upon c	omplet	tion ar	nd backfilled as	s per (Ontario)					
32			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 mc	onths un	less of	herwis	se directed by	our cli	ent.						
33릑													1	1	1

Drill Method: Solid Stem AugerSDrill Date: February 28, 202444Hole Size: 150 MillimetresTDrilling Contractor: Landshark Drilling

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

REFEREN	ICE NO.	:	1060074-A1								ENGLUSURE NO.:34
	ICD		SOL	BOREHOLE No	.: _		BH3	34		B	OREHOLE REPORT
	J	EU	SOL	ELEVATION: _		194	.97 m				Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
CLIENT:		REG	GIONAL MUNICIPAL	ITY OF NIAGARA		SPS A				<u>LEC</u>	GEND
PROJECT	:	FOF	RCEMAIN							\boxtimes	SS - SPLIT SPOON
LOCATIO	N:	SMI	THVILLE, ONTARIC)							ST - SHELBY TUBE AU - AUGER PROBE
DESCRIB	ED BY:	<u>C</u> . J	EAUROND	CHECKED BY:		D. ADE	YEM	0		Ţ	- WATER LEVEL
DATE (ST	ART):	June	e 12, 2012	DATE (FINISH)	:	June 1	2, 201	2			
epth	/ation m)	graphy	DESCR	IPTION OF	tate	e and mber	overy	sture ntent	Blows per 6 in. /	etraion dex	Shear test (Cu) △ Field Sensitivity (S) □ Lab ○ Water content (%) ➡ Atterberg limits (%)
ă	Ele ⁽)	Strati	SOIL ANI	D BEDROCK	Ś	Typ Nui	Rec	QMO	or RQD	Pene	$ \sum_{w_p, w_1}^{w_p, w_1} \text{ Nerves} $
Feet Metres	194.97		GROUN	D SURFACE			%			N	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
0.08	194.89	.	ASPHALT : 75 mm) - · 225 mm	\mathbb{T}						
	194.56		CRUSHER RUN L	IMESTONE, grey	-1	SS-1	94	12	30-26-23-9	49	
			SILTY CLAY, trace gravel, brown, very	e to some sand and / stiff	\mathbb{H}						
					\mathbb{A}	SS-2	61	19	5-8-13	21	
					X	SS-3	92	16	7-9-15	24	
7 - 2.0											
8 - 2.29 8 - 2.44	192.68 192.53		SILTY CLAY TILL,	trace to some sand	- 🛛	SS-4	100	13	11-50/	100	
9			Probable cobble/b	pulders					501111		
					∇						
					Å	SS-5	89	14	13-18-39	57	
12											
13 - 4.0											
15 4.57	190.40		SILTY CLAY, trace	e to some sand and	Μ	SS-6	100	17	7-10-14	24	
			gravel, brown, mor		Д	00-0	100		7-10-14	24	
20 <u>+</u> 6.10	188.87		Greyish brown		\mathbf{N}	SS-7	100	16	6-11-13	24	
21 - 6.55	188.42										
			END OF BORE HO	DLE							
			NOTE : End of Borehole at	6.55 m depth							
			Borehole remained completion	open and dry on							
3 26 —											

_	REFERE).:	1060074-A1								ENCLOSURE NO.: 35
		ISP	EC	SOL	BOREHOLE No.	: _	195	BH3	85		B	OREHOLE REPORT
							100					Fage. <u>1</u> 01 <u>1</u>
	CLIENT: PROJEC	 T:	REC GEC FOF	GIONAL MUNICIPAL DTECHNICAL INVES RCEMAIN	ITY OF NIAGARA STIGATION - SMITHVIL	_LE	SPS AI	ND				<u>SEND</u> SS - SPLIT SPOON
	LOCATIC	N:	SMI	THVILLE, ONTARIO								ST - SHELBY TUBE AU - AUGER PROBE
	DESCRIE	BED BY:	<u>C. J</u>	EAUROND	CHECKED BY:	_	D. ADE	YEM	0		Ţ	- WATER LEVEL
	DATE (S	FART):	June	e 12, 2012	DATE (FINISH)	:	June 12	2, 201	2			
	Depth	Elevation (m)	Stratigraphy	DESCRI SOIL AND	PTION OF DEDROCK	State	Type and Number	Recovery	Moisture Content	Blows per 6 in. / 15 cm or RQD	Penetraion Index	Shear test (Cu) △ Field Sensitivity (S) □ Lab ○ Water content (%) ↓ Atterberg limits (%) ● "N" Value (blows / 12 in30 cm)
	Feet Metre	s 195.77	7	GROUNE) SURFACE			%			Ν	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
	1	195.69		ASPHALT : 75 mm GRANULAR BASE CRUSHER RUN LI NATIVE : SILTY CLAY, trace	: 325 mm MESTONE, grey		SS-1	87	15	30-30-24-11	54	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	3 1.0 4			gravel, brown, very	stiff	X	SS-2	50	12	9-11-13	24	
	5 <u>1.52</u> 6 <u>2</u> 7 <u>2.0</u>	2 194.25		— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		X	SS-3	72	14	8-13-18	31	
	' 8 9 2.74	193.03					SS-4	67	15	15-32-28	60	
	10 3.0 			Probable cobble/bo	uiders	X	SS-5	89	14	10-18-34	52	
SOL.GDT 8/2/12	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	191.20		Very stiff to stiff		X	SS-6	89	15	10-11-14	25	
ELL T060074.GPJ INSPEC_	$\begin{array}{c} & \stackrel{-}{-} \\ 19 & \stackrel{-}{-} \\ 20 & \stackrel{-}{-} \\ 21 & \stackrel{-}{-} \\ & \stackrel{-}{-} \\ 6.55 \\ 22 & \stackrel{-}{-} \end{array}$	i 189.22				X	SS-7	100	27	5-7-10	17	
SOIL LOG WITH GRAPH+WI	$23 \xrightarrow{-1}{-1} 7.0$ $24 \xrightarrow{-1}{-1}$ $25 \xrightarrow{-1}{-1}$ $26 \xrightarrow{-1}{-1}$			NOTE : End of Borehole at Borehole remained completion	6.55 m depth open and dry on							

r	REFER	REN	ICE No.	:	T060074-A1								ENC	LOSU	RE N	0.: _		36	
		1		1.0	1.1.1.1	BOREHOLE No.	:_		BH3	86		B	OR	EH	OL	EF	۲E	OR	RL I
		ìN	ISP	EC	SOL	ELEVATION:		196	.50 m	1			F	Page:	_1	of	_1	-	
-	CLIEN PROJE LOCAT		: N:	REO GEO FOI SM	GIONAL MUNICIPAL OTECHNICAL INVES RCEMAIN ITHVILLE, ONTARIO	TY OF NIAGARA TIGATION - SMITHVIL	LE	SPS A		0			SS ST AU	2 - SF - S⊢ - AU	LIT S IELB' IGER		N 3E)BE		
	DATE	(ST	ART):		e 12, 2012	DATE (FINISH):		June 1	2. 20 ²	12		Ŧ		- ///	ALER	LEV	EL		
-	BATE	(01)	/ ((())	oun			·		2, 20										
-	Depth		Elevation (m)	Stratigraphy	DESCRI SOIL ANE	PTION OF BEDROCK	State	Type and Number	Recovery	Moisture Content	Blows per 6 in. / 15 cm or RQD	Penetraion Index	She Sen W _p , W ₁	ar test sitivity Water Atterbo "N" Val ws / 12	(Cu) (S) conte erg lim ue in30	nt (%) hits (%) cm)	△ F □ L ,)	ield .ab	
	Feet Met	res	196.50		GROUNE	O SURFACE			%			Ν	10	20 30 4	40 50	60 70) 80 9	0	
		.08 .38 .43	196.42 196.12 196.07		GRANULAR BASE CRUSHER RUN LI GRANULAR MATE fragments : 50 mm	: 300 mm MESTONE, grey RIAL with ASPHALT		SS-1	75	12	35-16-50-16	66	0).6 m		
	31 4 5	.0			SILTY CLAY, trace gravel, brown, very	to some sand and stiff		SS-2	55	20	10-10-11	21			_Ben	tonite	Seal	-	
	6	2.0	404.04				X	SS-3	83	15	10-13-15	28	C			2	2.1 m		
	8 2 9	.29 5.0	194.21		Hard Hard			SS-4	83	15	18-30-25	55							
	$ \begin{array}{c} + \\ 11 \\ + \\ 12 \\ + \\ 13 \\ + \\ + \\ 4 \\ + \\ + \\ 4 \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ +$.0					X	SS-5	89		12-14-21	35					Sand		
r 8/2/12	14	5.0					X	SS-6	83	15	9-20-25	45			•	S			
1.GPJ INSPEC_SOL.GD		5.0 .10	190.40		Greyish brown											5	5.8 m		
1 GRAPH+WELL T06007	$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ - \\ 22 \\ - \\ 23 \\ - \\ 23 \\ - \\ - \\ 7 \\ 24 \\ - \end{array}$.55 7.0	189.95		END OF BORE HC NOTE : End of Borehole at Borehole remained	0LE 6.55 m depth	Å	SS-7	55	15	8-17-21	38				6	j.6 m		
SOIL LOG WITH	25 — 25 — 26 —				Borehole caved to 5 A 50 mm dia Monitu 7.62 m depth in the	5.79 m depth bring well installed at sampled borehole													

_	REFEREN	ICE No.	: <u> </u>								ENCLOSURE No.: 37
		ICD		BOREHOLE No.	:_		BH3	37		B	OREHOLE REPORT
		126		ELEVATION:		196	.57 m	1			Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
	CLIENT: PROJECT		REGIONAL MUNICIPAL GEOTECHNICAL INVES FORCEMAIN	ITY OF NIAGARA STIGATION - SMITHVIL	.LE	SPS AI	ND				GEND SS - SPLIT SPOON
	LOCATIO	N:	SMITHVILLE, ONTARIC)							AU - AUGER PROBE
	DESCRIB	ED BY:	C. JEAUROND	CHECKED BY:		D. ADE	YEM	0		Ţ	- WATER LEVEL
	DATE (ST	ART):	June 12, 2012	DATE (FINISH):		June 12	2, 201	12			
	Depth	Elevation (m)	Stratigraphy Stratigraphy SOIL AND	IPTION OF D BEDROCK	State	Type and Number	Recovery	Moisture Content	Blows per 6 in. / 15 cm or RQD	Penetraion Index	Shear test (Cu) △ Field Sensitivity (S) □ Lab ○ Water content (%) ↓ Atterberg limits (%) ● "N" Value (blows / 12 in30 cm)
	Feet Metres	196.57	GROUN	D SURFACE			%			N	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
	$\begin{array}{c} - & 0.08 \\ 1 & - & 0.23 \\ - & 0.46 \\ 2 & - \\ - & - \end{array}$	196.49 196.34 196.11	ASPHALT : 75 mm GRANULAR BASE CRUSHER RUN L SURFACE TREAT NATIVE :	The second secon		SS-1	67	21	27-8-4-7	12	
	3 <u>+</u> 1.0 4 <u>-</u>		SILTY CLAY, trace gravel, brown, very	e to some sand and / stiff	X	SS-2	44	18	4-7-12	19	
	5 6 7 2.0				X	SS-3	78	13	7-13-14	27	
	9 –	194.28	 Hard		X	SS-4	67	12	12-19-23	42	
	10 - 3.0 - 3.0 - 11 12 				X	SS-5	89	13	18-32-50/ 75mm	100	
SOL.GDT 8/2/12	13 - 4.0 14 - 4.57 15 - 4.57 16 - 5.0 17 - 18 - 10 18 - 10 18 - 10 18 - 10 18 - 10 17 - 10 18 - 10 17 - 10 18 - 10 18 - 10 19 - 10 10 - 10 1	192.00	Greyish brown, ver	y stiff	X	SS-6	89	14	12-13-16	29	
L T060074.GPJ INSPEC	$ \begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 20 \\ 6.0 \\ 21 \\ 6.55 \\ 22 \\ 6.55 $	190.02			X	SS-7	67	16	5-9-12	21	
SOIL LOG WITH GRAPH+WE	23 - 7.0 24 - 7.0 25 - 7.0 26 - 7.0		END OF BORE HC NOTE : End of Borehole at Borehole remained completion	5.55 m depth I open and dry on							
й	20	1				I					

KLILKL	NCE NO	1060074-A1								ENCLOSORE NO
			BOREHOLE No	.: _		BH3	88		B	OREHOLE REPORT
ì	NSPI	EC+SOL	ELEVATION:		196	.40 m	1		_	Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
CLIENT: PROJEC LOCATIC	T: DN:	REGIONAL MUNICIP GEOTECHNICAL INV FORCEMAIN SMITHVILLE, ONTAF	ALITY OF NIAGARA ESTIGATION - SMITHVI	LLE	SPS A	ND				SEND SS - SPLIT SPOON ST - SHELBY TUBE AU - AUGER PROBE
DESCRIE	BED BY:	C. JEAUROND	CHECKED BY:		D. ADE	YEM	0		Ţ	- WATER LEVEL
DATE (S	TART): _	June 12, 2012	DATE (FINISH)	:	June 1	2, 201	2			
Depth	Elevation (m)	Stratigraphy SOIL A	RIPTION OF ND BEDROCK	State	Type and Number	Recovery	Moisture Content	Blows per 6 in. / 15 cm or RQD	Penetraion Index	Shear test (Cu) △ Field Sensitivity (S) □ Lab ○ Water content (%) ↓ Atterberg limits (%) ● "N" Value (blows / 12 in30 cm)
Feet Metre	s 196.40	GROL	IND SURFACE			%			N	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
1 <u>+</u> 0.10 1 <u>+</u> 0.40 2 <u>+</u>) 196.30) 196.00	GRANULAR BA CRUSHER RUN NATIVE : SILTY CLAY, tra gravel, brown, s	SE : 300 mm I LIMESTONE, grey		SS-1	83	20	15-11-7-5	18	
$\begin{bmatrix} 3 &\\ &\\ 4 & \end{bmatrix}$ 1.0				X	SS-2	100	14	6-6-10	16	
5 6 7 2.0				X	SS-3	94		6-13-22	35	
8				X	SS-4	100	13	10-22-42	64	
10 3.0				X	SS-5	73	13	15-27-50/ 75mm	100	
12 - 4.0 13 - 4.0 141 151 151 16 - 5.0 171 181 191 10				X	SS-6	89	14	10-15-22	37	
$ \begin{array}{c} 20 \\ - \\ 21 \\ - \\ - \\ 6.55 \end{array} $	0 190.30 5 189.85	 Very stiff			SS-7	89	16	8-11-17	28	
22 23 7.0 24 25 26		END OF BORE NOTE : End of Borehole Borehole remain completion	HOLE at 6.55 m depth led open and dry on							

	No.:								ENCLOSURE No.: 39
	and share	BOREHOLE No) .:		BH	39		В	OREHOLE REPORT
iNS	PEC·SOL	ELEVATION: _		196.	<u>38 r</u>	n		_	Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
CLIENT:	REGIONAL MUNICIPAL GEOTECHNICAL INVES FORCEMAIN	ITY OF NIAGARA STIGATION - SMITHVII	LLE	SPS A	١D		I		SEND
LOCATION:	SMITHVILLE, ONTARIO)							ST - SHELBY TUBE
DESCRIBED B	Y: C. JEAUROND	CHECKED BY:		D. ADE	YEM	0			RC - ROCK CORE - WATER LEVEL
DATE (START)): June 12, 2012	DATE (FINISH)	:	June 12	2, 201	12		Ŧ	
Depth (bgs) Elevation	Stratigraphy (m)	IPTION OF D BEDROCK	State	Type and Number	Recovery	Moisture Content	Blows per 6 in. / 15 cm or RQD	Penetration Index	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Feet Metres 196.3	38 GROUN	D SURFACE			%			N	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ASPHALT : 100 m GRANULAR BASE CRUSHER RUN L NATIVE : SILTY CLAY, trace	m 250 mm IMESTONE, grey / to some sand and		SS-1	92	17	12-10-5-6	15	
3 <u>-</u> 1.0 4 <u>-</u> 5 <u>-</u>	gravel, cobble plec	es, drown, very stiff		SS-2	39	12	3-8-14	22	
6 <u>-</u> 6 <u>-</u> 7 <u>-</u> 2.0			X	SS-3	78	13	9-10-15	25	
				SS-4	78	12	12-17-23	40	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cobble/boulders	.OSTONE).	X	SS-5	86	14	10-50/ 25mm	100	0
$12 - \frac{1}{2}$	weathered, mediur	n strong, light grey		RUN-1	86		20% Very Poor	2 2 4	
	CLAY		\mathbb{N}	SS-6					
		DLE							
	NOTE : End of Borehole at	3.23 m depth due to							
2 18 — 18 — 19 — 19 —	Bedrock Borehole remained completion	l open and dry on							
	Clay layer from 3.9	6 m depth							
26 —									





BH39	BEDROCK		
CLA	Ŷ		
<u> </u>			189
	· · ·		
	BOREHOLE	LOCATIONS PLAN	PROFILE
,	DRAWN BY: S.F.T.	SCALE: AS SHOWN	REFERENCE NO.: T060074-A1
	CHECKED BY: D.A.	DATE: JUNE, 2012	FIGURE NO.: Drawing 13